WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**DISTRICT OF ARIZONA** 

United States of America v.			of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
	Al	len Roderic	k Manuel	Case Number:		13-07239M		
	ordance are estab		Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31 cck one or both, as applicable.)	42(f), a detention hearing ha	s been held	. I conclude that the following		
	-	ar and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant ng trial in this case.						
		eponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant g trial in this case.						
			PART	I FINDINGS OF FACT				
	(1)	<del>-</del>	. , . , . ,	dant has been convicted of a circumstance giving rise to for	•	ense)(state or local offense that liction had existed) that is		
		a cr	ime of violence as defined	in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).				
		an c	offense for which the maxir	num sentence is life imprison	ment or dea	ath.		
		an c	offense for which a maximu	um term of imprisonment of te	en years or i	more is prescribed in		
		a fe	lony that was committed a cribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142	fter the defendant had been of (f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable s	convicted of state or loca	two or more prior federal offenses I offenses.		
		devi		fined in section 921), or any o		or use of a firearm or destructive rous weapon, or involves a failure		
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.						
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.						
	(4)	will reasonal	ndings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions ill reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has of rebutted this presumption.					
			,	Alternative Findings				
	(1)	18 U.S.C. 3	142(e)(3): There is probab	ole cause to believe that the o	defendant ha	as committed an offense		
		for v	which a maximum term of i	mprisonment of ten years or	more is pre	scribed in		
		und	er 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956	(a), or 2332b.				
			er 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, fo scribed.	or which a maximum term of i	imprisonme	nt of 20 years or more is		
		an c	offense involving a minor v	ictim under section		2		
	(2)	The defenda	ant has not rebutted the pro	esumption established by find	ding 1 that n	o condition or combination of and the safety of the community.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $<sup>{}^{2}</sup> Insert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S\$1201, \ 1591, 2241-42, \ 2244(a)(1), \ 2245, \ 2251, \ 2251A, \ 2252(a)(1), \ 2252(a)(2), \ 2252(a)(3, \ 2252(a)(4), \ 2260, \ 2421, \ 2422, \ 2423, \ or \ 2425.$ 

# 

	Alternative Findings					
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condit assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	ion or combination of conditions will reasonably				
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the commun					
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).					
4)						
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REAS (Check one or both, as applicate	ONS FOR DETENTION				
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:					
	The defendant has a lengthy criminal history involving violen	nt offenses. In addition, automated records from the				
	Arizona Department of Corrections reflect that the defendant	nt has had a number of disciplinary infractions while				
	incarcerated, including disorderly conduct, assault with a we	eapon, and fighting. The defendant's failure to				
	comply while in custody as well has his extensive criminal h	istory are both factors that support the finding that				
	the defendant poses a risk of danger to the community.					
2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight	nt that:				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.					
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.					
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.					
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact	t by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	incarceration and a maximum of				
	<del></del> ·					
The d	lefendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pre	etrial Services Report, except:				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

### Case 2:13-mj-07239-BSB Document 7 Filed 07/03/13 Page 3 of 3

In addition:

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

#### PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

#### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: July 3, 2013

Honorable Steven P. Légan United States Magistrate Judge